

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS P.D. Bes 140 Absorbing, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/991,852	11/16/2001	Gary S. Jouas	10238.002	9284
27887	7590 06/08/2004		EXAMINER	
FENNEMOR			BUSINEY, C	HARLES S
3003 NORTH SUITE 2600	CENTRAL AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DUOTNIN 47 95012			1234	

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				Q
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
Advisory Action		09/991,852	JOUAS, GARY S.	_
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Scott Bushey	1724	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
Therefore final reject condition	LY FILED 20 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI , further action by the applicant is required to a tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea on (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply h places the applicati	to a on in
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
	he period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir			
Extensi	he period for reply expires on: (1) the making date of this o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire NNLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 08.07(f), one of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The refield is the date for purposes of determining the period in the control of the cont	later than SIX MONTHS from the maffin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TO date on which the petition under 37 CF	ig date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. \$ FR 1 136(a) and the approx	n, See MPEP onate extension
fee under 37 (2) as set fo	en ned is the date for purposes or determining the period of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the exprision date of thin (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Offi may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37.0	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final C	ffice action; or
	lotice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2 1X The	proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		

- - (a) \(\simega\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
    - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) IXI they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
    - issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
  - NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
- 3 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
- canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 6 ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
- 7. 

  ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) 

  ✓ will not be entered or b) 

  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: None

Claim(s) objected to: None.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration; None,

8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

9.□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. ☐ Other:

> Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1724

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New Issues: Applicant's amendments to claims 1 and 7 have changed the scope of claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 18, and 19, which would require further consideration with respect to those claims. Also, 1 is noted that applicant has not made shifted changes to the claims of the contract of t

overcome by the remarks made by applicant in the telephone conversation of 5-18-04...